arXiv:1408.1263v1 [physics.ao-ph] 6 Aug 2014

Comment

Comment on "A test-tube model for rainfall" by Wilkinson M., EPL 106 (2014) 40001

Martin Rohloff[1,2], Tobias Lapp[1] and Jürgen Vollmer[1,2]

[1] *Max-Planck-Institut für Dynamik und Selbstorganisation (MPIDS), 37077 Göttingen, Germany*
[2] *Fakultät für Physik, Universität Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

PACS 05.70.Fh – Phase transitions: general studies
PACS 82.40.Bj – Oscillations, chaos, and bifurcations
PACS 47.57.ef – Sedimentation and migration

In Ref. [1] Michael Wilkinson revisits a model for rainfall [2,3] that is based on a crossover from diffusive, Ostwald-like, growth for small droplets to growth dominated by gravitational collisions where large sedimenting droplets grow by collecting smaller ones. Wilkinson asserts that the model is fully compatible with our data [3,4]. Here we point out why we can not support this conclusion.

We start our discussion from equation (11) of [1],

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} = \delta\,\frac{D\Lambda}{a^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\,\kappa a^2 \xi t \qquad (1)$$

The second summand contributing to the growth of a accounts for growth by gravitational collisions, where ξ characterises the steepness of the temperature ramp, κ is the prefactor entering the Stokes law of droplet sedimentation, and ε is the collection efficiency. It is expected to take values in the range $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ [6]. The other summand accounts for the growth of small droplets of radius a by mass diffusion with diffusion constant D. In this term, the Kelvin length Λ accounts for effects from the surface tension, and we added here a factor δ which arises as an estimate for large a of the term $(a/a_0) - 1$ in Eq. (8) of Wilkinson's paper [1]. The Lifshitz-Slyozov theory [5,7] asserts that $0 \leq a/a_0 \leq 3/2$ such that $\delta \lesssim 1/2$ for the largest droplets in the system. Wilkinson uses $\delta = 1$ in his equation (11) for the time evolution of the radius a. For any choice of δ that is of order one, as required by compatibility with Ostwald ripening in the initial stages of droplet growth, we will now demonstrate that predictions based on Eq. (1) are in variance with our data.

The period of time Δt needed for the largest droplets in the mixture to grow from $a = 0$ to $a = \infty$ is best obtained by non-dimensionalising Eq. (1) with respect to the bottleneck time t_1 and the bottleneck radius a_1 [*cf.* Wilkinson's Eqs. (12) and (13)],

$$t_1 = \left(\frac{4^3/3^4}{\delta D\Lambda(\varepsilon\kappa\,\xi)^3}\right)^{1/7}, \quad a_1 = \left(\frac{12\delta^2 D^2\Lambda^2}{\varepsilon\kappa\xi}\right)^{1/7} \qquad (2)$$

and numerical integration. The resulting prediction

$$\Delta t = 2.44\,t_1 = 2.44\left(\frac{4^3/3^4}{\delta D\Lambda(\varepsilon\kappa\,\xi)^3}\right)^{1/7}, \qquad (3)$$

is tested in Fig. 1 where we plot $\Delta t\,\xi^{3/7}$ as function of the reduced temperature, $\Theta = |T - T_c|/T_c$. We note that the temperature dependence of the model parameters D, Λ and κ has been provided in [3]. Therefore, $\varepsilon^3\,\delta$ is the



Figure 1: Data of the oscillation periods, Δt, of the isobutoxyethanol-rich phase of an isobutoxyethanol/water system [3,4]. The lines show the predictions of Eq. (3). It only depends on the dimensionless parameter $\varepsilon^3\,\delta$ – the temperature dependent values of the other material parameters, D, Λ and κ entering Eq. (3) are known from independent measurements [3].

only free parameter of the prediction, Eq. (3). The dashed green line shows the prediction for the case $\varepsilon^3\,\delta = 1$ which has been used in [1]. The physical bounds $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta \lesssim 1/2$ derived above rather require $\varepsilon^3\,\delta \lesssim 1/2$. In that case the prediction is shifted towards still larger values, *i. e.,* further away from the experimental observations. A good fit of the data is obtained for $\varepsilon^3\,\delta \simeq 5000$ (solid blue line in Fig. 1) that clearly lies out of the physical bounds. We hence hold that Eq. (3) does not faithfully describe our data:

1. The dashed green line shows a lower bound to the best fit to the data when observing the physical constraints $\varepsilon < 1$ and $\delta < 1/2$. Taking the maximum value, $\delta < 1/2$, consistent with the Lifshitz-Slyozov theory of Ostwald ripening requires collision efficiencies of the order of $\varepsilon \simeq 20$ to arrive at a faithful description of the data (solid blue line in Fig. 1 for $\varepsilon^3\delta \simeq 5000$). This value appears to be unrealistically large, in particular because we do not expect turbulence enhancement of the collision efficiencies [8] for our experiments where $\mathrm{Re} \lesssim 10^{-1}$.

2. Our experimental data on the time evolution of the number density n suggest bottleneck radii of $a_1 \simeq 8\,\mu$m (*cf.* figure 3.18 in [3]). As expected this value is larger than the radius $a_S \simeq 1\,\mu$m where the the Stokes settling velocity overtakes the displacement by diffusivity of the droplets, D/a_S. On the other hand, for $\varepsilon^3\,\delta = 5000$ and $\delta < 1/2$ equation (2) predicts radii a_1 of about 100 nm. For $0 \leq \delta \lesssim 1$ one only obtains physically meaningful bottleneck radii for values of ε where $\varepsilon \ll 10^{-6}$

Conclusion. – When applied to our data the prediction, Eq. (3), cannot cope with the competing requirements of $\varepsilon \simeq 20$ and $\varepsilon \ll 10^{-6}$. The dashed green line in Fig. 1 clearly shows that the model suffers from the same *quantitative* difficulties when applied to the formation of terrestrial rainfall (as acknowledged in [1]) and to the experimental data on binary demixing that have been discussed in the present comment: For physically realistic values of ε and δ, where $\varepsilon^3\delta \ll 1$, the prediction Eq. (3) provides values Δt that are substantially larger than those observed in experiments. We hence believe that Ostwald ripening is not only too slow to account for terrestrial rainfall, but it is also too slow to account for rainfall in our experiments.

References

[1] Wilkinson M., *EPL*, **106** (2014) 40001.

[2] Wilkinson M., *Ostwald ripening and the kinetics of rain initiation* arXiv:1106.0334v1.

[3] Lapp T., *Evolution of droplet distributions in hydrodynamic systems* Ph.D. thesis Georg August University Göttingen (2011).

[4] Lapp T., Rohloff M., Vollmer J. and Hof B., *Exp. Fluids*, **52** (2012) 1187.

[5] Bray A. J., *Adv. Phys.*, **43** (1994) 357.

[6] Beard K. V. and Ochs H. T., *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **32** (1993) 608.

[7] Lifshitz I. M. and Slyozov V. V., *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **19** (1961) 35.

[8] Grabowski W. W. and Wang L.-P., *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **45** (2013) 293.